

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/775,172	75,172 02/01/2001		See-Wai Yip	3209.2.2 7281	
21552	7590	01/12/2006		EXAMINER	
_	N & AUST		NALVEN, ANDREW L		
GATEWAY TOWER WEST SUITE 900				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
15 WEST	SOUTH TI	EMPLE	2134		
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84101				DATE MAILED: 01/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/775,172	YIP ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Andrew L. Nalven	2134					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/2	<u>27/05</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Thi	s action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-60</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-60</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 February 2001</u> is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/775,172 Page 2

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-60 are pending.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-60 have been considered but are not persuasive.
- 3. Applicant has argued that the combination of Asay, Lapstun, and RSA Security's BSAFE Cert-C software fails to disclose, "issuing to the subscriber a server specific certificate for use by the server" and "issuing to the subscriber an application specific certificate corresponding to the master certificate." Applicant has focused upon the lack of teaching of the application specific certificate corresponding to the master certificate. Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's arguments.
- 4. Examiner initially notes that the "corresponding" feature only appears in independent claim 1 and does not appear in the remaining independent claims.

 Contrary to Applicant's assertion, Lapstun does teach, "issuing to the subscriber an application specific certificate corresponding to the master certificate" (Lapstun, column 33 lines 50-56 and 11-22, column 32 lines 58-67). Lapstun's application specific certificates are used to sign on behalf of the user (Lapstun, column 33 lines 50-56) that is represented by a SET cardholder certificate that is issued by a registration server

Application/Control Number: 09/775,172 Page 3

Art Unit: 2134

(Lapstun, column 32 lines 58-67). Thus, Lapstun does teach the application specific certificates corresponding to the master certificate.

5. Further, Asay discloses "issuing to the subscriber a server specific certificate for use by the server" (Asay, column 10 lines 23-36). Asay teaches the issuing to a subscriber a certificate (Asay, column 10 lines 30-33) that identifies a server (Asay, column 10 lines 33-36, identifies reliance server). Thus, the certificate issued to the subscriber is server specific. It specifically refers to a particular server and is for use by the server (Asay, column 10 lines 37-51, reliance server uses primary certificate to determine if a secondary certificate should be issued).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-7, 10-26, 29-47, and 50-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asay et al US Patent No. 5,903,882 in view of RSA Security's BSAFE Cert-C software as seen in press release "RSA Security Simplifies PKI Application Development" and Lapstun et al US Patent No. 6,549,935.
- 8. With regards to claims 1, 10, and 41, Asay teaches the integrating of an server with a server-specific certificate authority for issuing server-specific certificates (Asay,

column 10 lines 23-50 "reliance server"), receiving notice of a master certification authority issuing a master certificate to a subscriber (Asay, column 12 lines 17-21), issuing to the subscriber a server-specific certificate for use by the server (Asay, column 10 lines 45-50), and the existence of several servers with integrated certificate authorities (Asay, column 12 lines 23-28). Asay fails to teach the integrating of the certificate authority into an application and the issuing of application-specific certificates. RSA Security teaches the integrating of the certificate authority into an application (RSA Security Press Release, Page 2, Paragraphs 3-4). Lapstun teaches the issuing of application-specific certificates (Lapstun, column 33 lines 53-56, certificate for each application). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to utilize RSA Security's method of integrating PKI functions into an application and Lapstun's certificate method with Asay's reliance server for integrating transactions because it offers the advantage of simplifying and accelerating the development of PKI enabled applications and providing interoperability with all of the leading PKI platforms (RSA Security Press Release, Page 1, Paragraphs 1-3) and the advantage of allowing an application to sign transactions on behalf of the user (Lapstun, column 33 lines 53-56).

9. With regards to claims 2, 11, 16, 30, 35, 42, 51 and 56 Asay as modified teaches the integrating of the application with a directory service for providing access to application-specific certificate for the application (RSA Security Press Release, Page 1 Paragraph 2, Asay column 14 lines 34-37, Figure 3).

Application/Control Number: 09/775,172

Art Unit: 2134

10. With regards to claims 3, 22, and 43, Asay as modified teaches the directory service comprising one of a LDAP service, an X.500 directory, and a database (Asay column 14 lines 34-37).

Page 5

- 11. With regards to claims 4, 12, 17, 23, 31, 44, 52 and 57, Asay as modified teaches the storing of the application-specific certificates in the certificate repository of the directory service (RSA Security Press Release, Page 1 Paragraph 2, Asay column 14 lines 34-37).
- 12. With regards to claims 5, 13, 24, 32, 36, 45 and 53, Asay as modified teaches the receiving notice of the master certification authority revoking the master certificate of the subscriber (Asay, column 15 lines 57-60) and the revoking of the application-specific certificate of the subscriber corresponding to the revoked master certificate (Asay, column 15 lines 57-67, RSA Security Press Release, Page 1 Paragraph 2).
- 13. With regards to claims 6,14, 25, 33, 37, 46, and 54 Asay as modified teaches the storing of the revoked application-specific certificate in a certificate revocation list (Asay, column 23 lines 48-50).
- 14. With regards to claims 7, 15, 18, 20, 26, 34, 38, 40, 47, 55, 58 and 60, Asay as modified teaches the integrating of the application with a registration authority for registering subscribers and revoking subscribers' certificates (Asay, column 10 lines 25-29), in response to a subscriber being registered issuing an application-specific certificate to the subscriber (Asay, column 10 lines 29-36, RSA Security Press Release, Page 2, Paragraphs 3-4), and in response to a subscriber's certificate being revoked

revoking the application-specific certificate of the subscriber (Asay, column 15 lines 57-67, RSA Security Press Release, Page 1 Paragraph 2).

- With regards to claims 19, 29, 39, 50 and 59, Asay teaches the integrating a 15. plurality of servers with a server-specific certificate authority for issuing server-specific certificates (Asay, column 10 lines 23-50 "reliance server", column 12 lines 23-28), receiving notice of a registration authority registering subscribers (Asay, column 10 lines 29-36), and issuing to the subscriber a server-specific certificate for use by the server (Asay, column 10 lines 45-50). Asay fails to teach the integrating of the certificate authority into an application and the issuing of application-specific certificates. RSA Security teaches the integrating of the certificate authority into an application (RSA Security Press Release, Page 2, Paragraphs 3-4). Lapstun teaches the issuing of application-specific certificates (Lapstun, column 33 lines 53-56, certificate for each application). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to utilize RSA Security's method of integrating PKI functions into an application and Lapstun's certificate method with Asay's reliance server for integrating transactions because it offers the advantage of simplifying and accelerating the development of PKI enabled applications and providing interoperability with all of the leading PKI platforms (RSA Security Press Release, Page 1, Paragraphs 1-3) and the advantage of allowing an application to sign transactions on behalf of the user (Lapstun, column 33 lines 53-56).
- 16. With regards to claim 21, Asay teaches the integrating of an server with a server-specific certificate authority for issuing server-specific certificates (Asay, column 10 lines

23-50 "reliance server"), receiving notice of a master certification authority issuing a master certificate to a subscriber (Asay, column 12 lines 17-21), issuing to the subscriber a server-specific certificate for use by the server (Asay, column 10 lines 45-50), and a directory service integrated with the server and configured to provide access to server-specific certificates (Asay column 14 lines 34-37). Asay fails to teach the integrating of the certificate authority into an application and the issuing of applicationspecific certificates. RSA Security teaches the integrating of the certificate authority into an application (RSA Security Press Release, Page 2, Paragraphs 3-4). Lapstun teaches the issuing of application-specific certificates (Lapstun, column 33 lines 53-56, certificate for each application). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to utilize RSA Security's method of integrating PKI functions into an application and Lapstun's certificate method with Asay's reliance server for integrating transactions because it offers the advantage of simplifying and accelerating the development of PKI enabled applications and providing interoperability with all of the leading PKI platforms (RSA Security Press Release, Page 1. Paragraphs 1-3) and the advantage of allowing an application to sign transactions on behalf of the user (Lapstun, column 33 lines 53-56).

17. Claims 8-9, 27-28, and 48-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asay et al US Patent No. 5,903,882, RSA Security's BSAFE Cert-C software as seen in press release "RSA Security Simplifies PKI Application Development," and Lapstun et al US Patent No. 6,549,935, as applied to claim 1 above,

and further in view of Otway US Patent No. 6,192,130. Otway discloses an information security subscriber trust authority transfer system.

- 18. With regards to claims 8, 27, and 48, Asay as modified fails to disclose the encrypting of the private key of the application-specific certificate with the public key of the master certificate. Otway teaches disclose the encrypting of the private key of the application-specific certificate with the public key of the master certificate (Otway, column 6 lines 31-53). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to utilize Otway's method of encrypting private keys with Asay as modified because it offers the advantage of helping ensure than an attacker cannot readily obtain a private key (Otway, column 1 lines 20-34).
- 19. With regards to claims 9, 28, and 49, Asay as modified teaches the decrypting of the private key associated with the application-specific certificate using the private key associated with the master certificate (Otway, column 8 lines 28-47) and authenticating the subscriber for the application using the decrypted private key (Asay, column 16 lines 21-28, column 1 lines 40-45).

Conclusion

20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew L. Nalven whose telephone number is 571 272 3839. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 8-6, Alternate Fridays.

Application/Control Number: 09/775,172

Art Unit: 2134

Page 9

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on 571 272 3838. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew Nalven

GILBERTO BARRÓN JA.
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100